



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/617,564

07/17/2000

Paul Hua

GSH 08-886639

8340

7590

09/08/2005

Hayes Soloway Hennessey & Hage  
175 Canal Street  
Manchester, NH 03101

EXAMINER

AGGARWAL, YOGESH K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/617,564	Applicant(s) HUA ET AL.	
	Examiner Yogesh K. Aggarwal	Art Unit 2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/15/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (USPN 4,827,348 to Ernest et al.) in view of Berezin (US Patent # 6,603,513).

[Claim 1]

Ernest discloses a method of resetting an array of CCD during a reset cycle wherein the sensors are arranged in rows and columns and formed into predetermined groups each having one or more sensors (e.g., the predetermined groups are fields A and B of the image sensor), comprising the steps of:

(a) pre-resetting the sensors in the array by sequentially applying a pre-reset voltage to each of the predetermined groups of one or more sensors (e.g., the fields are sequentially read and reset as illustrated in Fig. 1); and

(b) subsequently resetting the sensors in the array by applying a predetermined reset voltage to all of the sensors substantially simultaneously (e.g., when a still image operation is performed all of the sensors in the array are reset as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2).

Ernest fails to disclose a method of pre-resetting and resetting substantially simultaneously a group of APS pixels. However Berezin discloses an APS sensor 145 that acts as a single-stage CCD (col. 3 lines 25-33, figure 4) and a method for pre-resetting ('RESET N' as shown in figure 5 is read as pre-resetting) and 'RESET N+1' is being read as resetting substantially for each row of the sensors. It is noted that the broadly recited limitations do not define the process of pre-resetting and simultaneous resetting. Thus, Berezin is interpreted as disclosing the claimed limitations for an APS and a CCD.

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Ernest and Berezin, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have the used the process of pre-resetting and simultaneously resetting as taught in Ernest to an APS as well as a CCD sensor as disclosed in Berezin in order to reduce the number of control lines resulting in greater space resolution and higher quantum efficiency.

In regards to claims 2 and 3 Examiner notes that Ernest discloses that each row of each field is made up of one or row of sensors with multiple columns, wherein each field has multiple rows.

In regards to claim 5 Ernest discloses an apparatus of resetting an array of CCD during a reset cycle wherein the sensors are arranged in rows and columns and formed into predetermined groups each having one or more sensors (e.g., the predetermined groups are fields A and B of the image sensor), comprising:

a controller coupled to the sensor array for sequentially applying a pre-reset voltage to each of the predetermined groups of one or more sensors in the array (e.g., the fields are sequentially read and reset as illustrated in Fig. 1); and

Art Unit: 2615

a controller coupled to the sensor array for subsequently resetting all of the sensors in the array by applying a predetermined reset voltage to all of the sensors substantially simultaneously (e.g., when a still image operation is performed all of the sensors in the array are reset as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2).

Ernest fails to disclose an apparatus for pre-retting and resetting substantially simultaneously a group of APS pixels. However Berezin discloses an APS sensor 145 that acts as a single-stage CCD (col. 3 lines 25-33, figure 4) and a method for pre-resetting ('RESET N' as shown in figure 5 is read as pre-resetting) and 'RESET N+1' is being read as resetting substantially for each row of the sensors. It is noted that the claim is very broad and do not define the process of pre-resetting and simultaneous resetting. Thus, Berezin is interpreted as disclosing the claimed limitations for an APS and a CCD.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 9-14 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record, the reasons for allowance are as follows:
5. In regards to claim 9 and 12 see Examiner's notes for the reasons for allowance of claims 4 and 6 in Paper No. 4.
6. Claims 10, 11, 13, and 14 depend from claims 9 and 12.
7. Claims 4 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. See the reasons for allowance in Paper No. 4.
8. Claims 7 and 8 depend from claim 6.

Art Unit: 2615

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA  
September 1, 2005

  
DAVID L. OMETZ  
SUPERVISORY PATENT  
EXAMINER